



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,418	10/29/2003	William P. Fell		6737

25853 7590 10/05/2004

MICHAEL TAVELLA
2051 BRIGADIER DRIVE
ANCHORAGE, AK 99507

EXAMINER

AVILA, STEPHEN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3617

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/696,418	Applicant(s) FELL ET AL. SF	
	Examiner Stephen Avila	Art Unit 3617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-15, 17 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5, 16, 18 and 20 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Giacosa. Giacosa discloses the claimed subject matter including a steering system for a boat having an outboard motor with a jet drive output with a directional nozzle 17, pivoting means 22, an adapter ring P1, P2, brackets I1, I2 attached to the nozzle and pivotable with respect to the ring, and a curved hood23 pivotably attached to the nozzle (at 24) and raising and lowering means.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 13, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Buehler. Giacosa does not disclose a tiller. Buehler teaches a tiller 35 with speed control 85. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Giacosa with a tiller for steering and speed control as taught by Buehler to be more easily operated.

5. Claims 3, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Chronic. Giacosa does not disclose a cable actuator. Chronic

Art Unit: 3617

teaches cables 24, 43. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Giacosa with cables to control the nozzle and hood as taught by Chronic for light weight.

6. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Ito et al. Giacosa does not disclose handlebars. Ito et al teach handlebars 90 in a steering system. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the steering system of Giacosa with handlebars as taught by Ito et al for ease of steering.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Buehler as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Chronic. Giacosa does not disclose a cable actuator. Chronic teaches cables 24, 43. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Giacosa with cables to control the nozzle and hood as taught by Chronic for light weight.

8. Claims 3 and 14 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis in the claims for "said tiller" (lines 1, 2). It appears that claim 3 should depend from claim 2 and claim 14 should depend from claim 13 to provide proper antecedent support for said tiller.

Art Unit: 3617

9. Claims 5, 16, 18, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nanami shows a ring 49. Groves shows a jet boat. Blanchard shows an outboard jet. Woodfill shows a marine jet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 703-308-2578. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8 AM to 4 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Avila

SA
9/28/04

Application/Control Number: 10/696,418

Art Unit: 3617

Page 5

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617

Am
9/29/04